The National Citizen Survey™

Orland Park, IL

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2014

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Orland Park's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Orland Park's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation all received ratings that were positive and at least similar to the benchmark. Across a variety of facets within Community Characteristics and Governance, residents gave ratings that were higher than comparison communities. Within Community Characteristics, the facets of Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment received especially high ratings. Ratings were also high for Safety, Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement in the pillar of Governance. Broadly, ratings about the community's characteristics across most of the facets were stronger than were ratings of governance or citizen engagement. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	Community Characteristics			Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	22	30	0	20	25	0	0	31	4	
General	3	4	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	5	2	0	0	3	0	
Mobility	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	2	1	
Natural Environment	1	2	0	3	3	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	3	2	0	2	4	0	0	2	0	
Economy	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	
Recreation and Wellness	7	0	0	3	1	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	3	3	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	
Community Engagement	0	5	0	4	4	0	0	9	2	

Legend						
	Higher					
	Similar					
	Lower					

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	1	1	89%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%	Recommend Orland Park	1	\leftrightarrow	94%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	Services provided by Orland Park	\leftrightarrow	<u> </u>	87%	Remain in Orland Park	1	\leftrightarrow	91%
General	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	36%	Contacted Orland Park employees	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	55%
ලි	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%								
	Overall image	1	1	88%							 ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ 	
	Overall feeling of safety	*	\leftrightarrow	90%	Police	\leftrightarrow	1	90%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	93%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	1	85%	Did NOT report a crime	*	\leftrightarrow	85%
şţ	Safe downtown/commercial area	1	\leftrightarrow	89%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	*	\leftrightarrow	34%
Safety					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	1	91%	<u> </u>			
0,					Ambulance/EMS	*	\leftrightarrow	97%				
					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	1	81%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	1	79%				
-	Traffic flow	1	\leftrightarrow	36%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	*	1	30%
	Travel by car	1	\leftrightarrow	51%	Street repair	1	\leftrightarrow	54%	Walked or biked instead of driving	*	\leftrightarrow	46%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	55%	Street cleaning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	\leftrightarrow	24%
٤	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Street lighting	1	\leftrightarrow	74%				
	Travel by public transportation	1	\leftrightarrow	47%	Snow removal	1	\leftrightarrow	65%				
	Overall ease travel	*	\leftrightarrow	68%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%				
	Public parking	*	\leftrightarrow	69%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%				
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%				
	Overall natural environment	1	\leftrightarrow	86%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
+	Air quality	*	\leftrightarrow	86%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Conserved water	*	\leftrightarrow	87%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	*	1	89%	Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	Made home more energy efficient	*	\leftrightarrow	85%
<u>₹</u> ₹					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	1	88%				
ᇤ					Open space	*	\leftrightarrow	78%				
					Natural areas preservation	1	1	83%				
	New development in Orland Park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%
nmen	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Did NOT observe a code violation	*	\leftrightarrow	62%
<u>ē</u>	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	1	79%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%				
Built Environment	Overall built environment	*	1	80%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	1	75%				
Bui	Public places	*	1	82%	Code enforcement	1	1	73%				
	. asne places		<u> </u>	32.0	Cable television	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	*	1	84%	Economic development	1	1	75%	Economy will have positive impact on income	1	↔	27%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	91%					Purchased goods or services in Orland Park	*	↔	98%
m Y	Employment opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	50%					Work in Orland Park	*	1	24%
Economy	Place to visit	*	\leftrightarrow	80%								
8	Cost of living	*	\leftrightarrow	53%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	*	1	62%								
	Place to work	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	1	85%								
"	Fitness opportunities	*	1	87%	Village parks	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	In very good to excellent health	*	\leftrightarrow	61%
Recreation and Wellness	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Used Orland Park recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%
š	Health care	1	1	84%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	Visited a Village park	1	\leftrightarrow	77%
ר and	Food	1	1	85%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	1	83%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	*	\leftrightarrow	83%
eatio	Mental health care	*	1	67%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	*	\leftrightarrow	83%
Seci	Health and wellness	*	1	87%								
Œ	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	1	82%								
	K-12 education	1	\leftrightarrow	85%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	Used Orland Park public libraries	1	\leftrightarrow	71%
r d	Cultural/arts/music activities	1	1	71%	Special events	*	1	84%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%
n a Jer	Child care/preschool	1	1	75%								
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	s or spiritual events and ← ←	\leftrightarrow	88%								
필교	Adult education	*	\leftrightarrow	72%						ny will have positive pact on income d goods or services in Orland Park k in Orland Park * \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and Park recreation centers ed a Village park ortions of fruits and vegetables ated in moderate or us physical activity * \$\frac{1}{2}\$ at or visited with neighbors a local public meeting \$\frac{1}{2}\$ to or candidate do Orland Park elected officials d a Village-sponsored event watched local news \$\frac{1}{2}\$ \$\fra		
	Overall education and enrichment	*	1	85%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	1	85%	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%	Overall direction	1	\leftrightarrow	71%	Voted in local elections	1	\leftrightarrow	83%
	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	\leftrightarrow	92%
ment	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%	Welcoming citizen involvement	1	\leftrightarrow	64%	Attended a local public meeting	1	\leftrightarrow	19%
ngage	Neighborliness	*	\leftrightarrow	70%	Confidence in Village government	*	↑	68%	Volunteered	1	† ‡	23%
nity Er					Acting in the best interest of Orland Park	*	↑	71%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	1	19%
Community Engagement					Being honest	*	\leftrightarrow	69%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	*	\leftrightarrow	17%
8					Treating all residents fairly	*	1	70%			↔	13%
											↔	52%
									Read or watched local news		\leftrightarrow	94%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	\leftrightarrow	90%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$