# THE National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>

### Orland Park, IL Dashboard Summary of Findings

2016



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Orland Park's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Orland Park's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Overall, Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation received strong ratings that were similar to or higher than comparison communities across the nation. The pillar of Governance was particularly strong - the facets of Safety, Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Community Engagement all tended to receive ratings higher than the national benchmark. The facets of Built Environment, Economy and Recreation and Wellness tended to be higher than the benchmark within Community Characteristics. Built Environment within Participation also tended to receive higher ratings than in comparison communities.

	Community Characteristics				Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	20	32	0	22	23	0	1	31	3	
General	2	5	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	5	2	0	0	3	0	
Mobility	1	7	0	0	8	0	0	2	1	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	4	1	0	2	4	0	1	1	0	
Economy	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	
Recreation and Wellness	6	1	0	3	1	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	2	4	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	
Community Engagement	0	5	0	7	1	0	0	9	1	

#### Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

Legend Higher Similar Lower

#### Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
General	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%	Recommend Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Services provided by Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	90%	Remain in Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
	Place to retire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%	Contacted Orland Park employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%
	Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	94%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%								
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	93%								
	Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	86%								
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	92%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	93%
[	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	1	86%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	96%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	26%
					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	1	93%				
					Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%				
					Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	81%				
					Animal control	↑	↑ (	84%				
Mobility	Traffic flow	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	36%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	32%
	Travel by car	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%	Street repair	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	49%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	49%
	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Street cleaning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	21%
	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%				
	Travel by public transportation	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	42%	Snow removal	1	$\leftrightarrow$	78%				
[	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Sidewalk maintenance	1	$\leftrightarrow$	69%				
[	Public parking	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	72%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%				
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%				
	Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
τ	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Yard waste pick-up	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%
Z i					Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	88%				
Ē				1	Open space	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	81%				
					Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	1	83%				
Built Environment	New development in Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	78%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%
	Affordable quality housing	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	61%	Storm drainage	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%	Did NOT observe a code violation	↑	↑	67%
	Housing options	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ ↑	80%	Power utility	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%				
	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	 ↑	80%	Land use, planning and zoning	$\leftrightarrow$	1	75%				
ling	Public places	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	73%				
ā –	· · ·		1		Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%				1
			1			1						1

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

 $\uparrow$  Higher  $\leftrightarrow$  Similar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower \* Not available

2

#### The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
'n	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	86%	Economic development	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	80%	Economy will have positive impact on income	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	21%
	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u> ↑	92%					Purchased goods or services in Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%
	Employment opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	54%					Work in Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	ĻĻ	20%
Economy	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	1	81%								
ECO	Cost of living	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%								
	Place to work	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%								
	Business and services	$\leftrightarrow$	1	84%								
	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	86%	Village parks	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	93%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%
Recreation and Wellness	Recreational opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	87%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	90%	Used Orland Park recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%
$\geq$	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	1	80%	Recreation programs	1	<b>↑</b>	93%	Visited a Village park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	79%
n and	Food	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b>	87%	Health services	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	86%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%
eatio	Mental health care	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	68%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
Seci	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%								
ĽĽ.	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	1	84%								
t	K-12 education	$\leftrightarrow$	1	88%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Used Orland Park public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%
Enrichment	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	53%
	Child care/preschool	<b>↑</b>	<u>↑</u> ↑	83%					Attended a Village-sponsored event	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	53%
Education and	Religious or spiritual events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%								
ati	Adult education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%								
Educ	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	87%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%
	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%	Overall direction	1	1	78%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%
Community Engagement	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%
	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	67%	Attended a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	21%
	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Confidence in Village government	$\leftrightarrow$	1	72%	Volunteered	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	22%
					Acting in the best interest of Orland Park	$\leftrightarrow$	1	74%	Participated in a club	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	19%
					Being honest	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	72%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	16%
					Treating all residents fairly	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	72%	Contacted Orland Park elected officials	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	13%
									Read or watched local news	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%

Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↔ Similar

↑ Higher

↓ Lower

 $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower

\* Not available